arXiv:1608.07613v1 [math.QA] 26 Aug 2016

Tridiagonal pairs of q-Racah type, the Bockting operator ψ, and L-operators for $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$

Paul Terwilliger

Abstract

We describe the Bockting operator ψ for a tridiagonal pair of q-Racah type, in terms of a certain L-operator for the quantum loop algebra $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$.

Keywords. Bockting operator, tridiagonal pair, Leonard pair.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 17B37. Secondary: 15A21.

1 Introduction

In the theory of quantum groups there exists the concept of an L-operator; this was introduced in [20] to obtain solutions for the Yang-Baxter equation. In linear algebra there exists the concept of a tridiagonal pair; this was introduced in [13] to describe the irreducible modules for the subconstituent algebra of a Q-polynomial distance-regular graph. Recently some authors have connected the two concepts. In [1], [3] Pascal Baseilhac and Kozo Koizumi use L-operators for the quantum loop algebra $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ to construct a family of finite-dimensional modules for the q-Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}_q$; see [2, 4–6] for related work. A finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{O}_q$-module is essentially the same thing as a tridiagonal pair of q-Racah type [9, Section 12], [23, Section 3]. In [22, Section 9], Kei Miki uses similar L-operators to describe how $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ is related to the q-tetrahedron algebra $\boxtimes_q$. A finite-dimensional irreducible $\boxtimes_q$-module is essentially the same thing as a tridiagonal pair of q-geometric type [15, Theorem 2.7], [16, Theorems 10.3, 10.4]. Following Baseilhac, Koizumi, and Miki, in the present paper we use L-operators for $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ to describe the Bockting operator ψ associated with a tridiagonal pair of q-Racah type. Before going into detail, we recall some notation and basic concepts. Throughout this paper $\mathbb{F}$ denotes a field. Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ with finite positive dimension. For an $\mathbb{F}$-linear map $A : V \to V$ and a subspace $W \subseteq V$, we say that W is an *eigenspace* of A whenever $W \neq 0$ and there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $W = \{v \in V | Av = \theta v\}$; in this case θ is called the *eigenvalue* of A associated with W. We say that A is *diagonalizable* whenever V is spanned by the eigenspaces of A.

Definition 1.1. (See [13, Definition 1.1].) Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ with finite positive dimension. By a *tridiagonal pair* (or *TD pair*) on V we mean an ordered pair of $\mathbb{F}$-linear maps $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$ that satisfy the following four conditions:

(i) Each of A, A^* is diagonalizable.

(ii) There exists an ordering $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ of the eigenspaces of A such that

$$A^*V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d), \tag{1}$$

where $V_{-1} = 0$ and $V_{d+1} = 0$.

(iii) There exists an ordering $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta$ of the eigenspaces of A^* such that

$$AV_i^* \subseteq V_{i-1}^* + V_i^* + V_{i+1}^* \qquad (0 \leq i \leq \delta), \tag{2}$$

where $V_{-1}^* = 0$ and $V_{\delta+1}^* = 0$.

(iv) There does not exist a subspace $W \subseteq V$ such that $AW \subseteq W$, $A^*W \subseteq W$, $W \neq 0$, $W \neq V$.

We refer the reader to [12, 13, 17] for background on TD pairs, and here mention only a few essential points. Let A, A^* denote a TD pair on V, as in Definition 1.1. By [13, Lemma 4.5] the integers d and δ from (1) and (2) are equal; we call this common value the *diameter* of A, A^*. An ordering of the eigenspaces for A (resp. A^*) is called *standard* whenever it satisfies (1) (resp. (2)). Let $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ denote a standard ordering of the eigenspaces of A. By [13, Lemma 2.4] the ordering $\{V_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d$ is standard and no further ordering is standard. A similar result holds for the eigenspaces of A^*. Until the end of this section fix a standard ordering $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) of the eigenspaces for A (resp. A^*). For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let θ_i (resp. θ_i^*) denote the eigenvalue of A (resp. A^*) for the eigenspace V_i (resp. V_i^*). By construction $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ are mutually distinct and contained in $\mathbb{F}$. Moreover $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ are mutually distinct and contained in $\mathbb{F}$. By [13, Theorem 11.1] the expressions

$$\frac{\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i} \qquad \frac{\theta_{i-2}^* - \theta_{i+1}^*}{\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_i^*}$$

are equal and independent of i for $2 \leq i \leq d-1$. For this constraint the solutions can be given in closed form [13, Theorem 11.2]. The "most general" solution is called q-Racah, and will be described shortly.

We now recall the split decomposition [13, Section 4]. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ define

$$U_i = (V_0^* + V_1^* + \cdots + V_i^*) \cap (V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_{d-i}).$$

For notational convenience define $U_{-1} = 0$ and $U_{d+1} = 0$. By [13, Theorem 4.6] the sum $V = \sum_{i=0}^d U_i$ is direct. By [13, Theorem 4.6] both

$$U_0 + U_1 + \cdots + U_i = V_0^* + V_1^* + \cdots + V_i^*,$$
$$U_i + U_{i+1} + \cdots + U_d = V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_{d-i}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$. By [13, Theorem 4.6] both

$$(A - \theta_{d-i}I)U_i \subseteq U_{i+1}, \qquad (A^* - \theta_i^*I)U_i \subseteq U_{i-1} \tag{3}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

We now describe the q-Racah case. Pick a nonzero $q \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $q^4 \neq 1$. We say that A, A^* has *q-Racah type* whenever there exist nonzero $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ such that both

$$\theta_i = aq^{2i-d} + a^{-1}q^{d-2i}, \qquad \theta_i^* = bq^{2i-d} + b^{-1}q^{d-2i} \tag{4}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$. For the rest of this section assume that A, A^* has q-Racah type. For $1 \leq i \leq d$ we have $q^{2i} \neq 1$; otherwise $\theta_i = \theta_0$. Define an $\mathbb{F}$-linear map $K : V \to V$ such that for $0 \leq i \leq d$, U_i is an eigenspace of K with eigenvalue q^{d-2i}. Thus

$$(K - q^{d-2i}I)U_i = 0 \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d), \tag{5}$$

where $I : V \to V$ is the identity map. Note that K is invertible. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ the following holds on U_i:

$$aK + a^{-1}K^{-1} = \theta_{d-i}I. \tag{6}$$

Define an $\mathbb{F}$-linear map $R : V \to V$ such that for $0 \leq i \leq d$, R acts on U_i as $A - \theta_{d-i}I$. By (6),

$$A = aK + a^{-1}K^{-1} + R. \tag{7}$$

By the equation on the left in (3),

$$RU_i \subseteq U_{i+1} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{8}$$

We now recall the Bockting operator ψ. By [8, Lemma 5.7] there exists a unique $\mathbb{F}$-linear map $\psi : V \to V$ such that both

$$\psi U_i \subseteq U_{i-1} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d), \tag{9}$$

$$\psi R - R\psi = (q - q^{-1})(K - K^{-1}). \tag{10}$$

The known properties of ψ are described in [7–9]. Suppose we are given A, A^*, R, K in matrix form, and wish to obtain ψ in matrix form. This can be done using (8), (9), (10) and induction on i. The calculation can be tedious, so one desires a more explicit description of ψ. In the present paper we give an explicit description of ψ, in terms of a certain L-operator for $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$. According to this description, ψ is equal to $-a$ times the ratio of two components for the L-operator. Theorem 5.4 is our main result.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the algebra $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ in its Chevalley presentation. In Section 3 we recall the equitable presentation for $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$. In Section 4 we discuss some L-operators for $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$. In Section 5 we use these L-operators to describe ψ.

2 The quantum loop algebra $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$

Recall the integers $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\}$ and natural numbers $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. We will be discussing algebras. An algebra is meant to be associative and have a 1. Recall the field $\mathbb{F}$. Until the end of Section 4, fix a nonzero $q \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $q^2 \neq 1$. Define

$$[n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \qquad\qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

All tensor products are meant to be over $\mathbb{F}$.

Definition 2.1. (See [10, Section 3.3].) Let $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ denote the $\mathbb{F}$-algebra with generators $E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in \{0, 1\})$ and relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&K_i K_i^{-1} = 1, && K_i^{-1} K_i = 1, \\
&K_0 K_1 = 1, && K_1 K_0 = 1, \\
&K_i E_i = q^2 E_i K_i, && K_i F_i = q^{-2} F_i K_i, \\
&K_i E_j = q^{-2} E_j K_i, && K_i F_j = q^2 F_j K_i, \qquad i \neq j, \\
&E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{i,j} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}, \\
&E_i^3 E_j - [3]_q E_i^2 E_j E_i + [3]_q E_i E_j E_i^2 - E_j E_i^3 = 0, && i \neq j, \\
&F_i^3 F_j - [3]_q F_i^2 F_j F_i + [3]_q F_i F_j F_i^2 - F_j F_i^3 = 0, && i \neq j.
\end{aligned}$$

We call $E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}$ the *Chevalley generators* for $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$.

Lemma 2.2. (See [18, p. 35].) *We turn $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ into a Hopf algebra as follows. The coproduct Δ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta(K_i) = K_i \otimes K_i, && \Delta(K_i^{-1}) = K_i^{-1} \otimes K_i^{-1}, \\
&\Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes E_i, && \Delta(F_i) = 1 \otimes F_i + F_i \otimes K_i^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The counit ε satisfies

$$\varepsilon(K_i) = 1, \qquad \varepsilon(K_i^{-1}) = 1, \qquad \varepsilon(E_i) = 0, \qquad \varepsilon(F_i) = 0.$$

The antipode S satisfies

$$S(K_i) = K_i^{-1}, \qquad S(K_i^{-1}) = K_i, \qquad S(E_i) = -K_i^{-1} E_i, \qquad S(F_i) = -F_i K_i.$$

We now discuss the $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$-modules.

Lemma 2.3. (See [10, Section 4].) *There exists a family of $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$-modules*

$$\mathbf{V}(d, t) \qquad\qquad 0 \neq d \in \mathbb{N}, \qquad\qquad 0 \neq t \in \mathbb{F} \tag{11}$$

with this property: $\mathbf{V}(d,t)$ *has a basis* $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&K_1 v_i = q^{d-2i} v_i && (0 \le i \le d), \\
&E_1 v_i = [d-i+1]_q v_{i-1} && (1 \le i \le d), \qquad E_1 v_0 = 0, \\
&F_1 v_i = [i+1]_q v_{i+1} && (0 \le i \le d-1), \qquad F_1 v_d = 0, \\
&K_0 v_i = q^{2i-d} v_i && (0 \le i \le d), \\
&E_0 v_i = t[i+1]_q v_{i+1} && (0 \le i \le d-1), \qquad E_0 v_d = 0, \\
&F_0 v_i = t^{-1}[d-i+1]_q v_{i-1} && (1 \le i \le d), \qquad F_0 v_0 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The module $\mathbf{V}(d,t)$ *is irreducible provided that* $q^{2i} \neq 1$ *for* $1 \le i \le d$.

Definition 2.4. Referring to Lemma 2.3, we call $\mathbf{V}(d,t)$ an *evaluation module* for $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$. We call d the *diameter*. We call t the *evaluation parameter*.

Example 2.5. For $0 \neq t \in \mathbb{F}$ the $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$-module $\mathbf{V}(1,t)$ is described as follows. With respect to the basis v_0, v_1 from Lemma 2.3, the matrices representing the Chevalley generators are

$$E_1: \ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad F_1: \ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad K_1: \ \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$E_0: \ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad F_0: \ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad K_0: \ \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 2.6. (See [19, p. 58].) *Let* U *and* V *denote* $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$*-modules. Then* $U \otimes V$ *becomes a* $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$*-module as follows. For* $u \in U$ *and* $v \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned}
K_i(u \otimes v) &= K_i(u) \otimes K_i(v), \\
K_i^{-1}(u \otimes v) &= K_i^{-1}(u) \otimes K_i^{-1}(v), \\
E_i(u \otimes v) &= E_i(u) \otimes v + K_i(u) \otimes E_i(v), \\
F_i(u \otimes v) &= u \otimes F_i(v) + F_i(u) \otimes K_i^{-1}(v).
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.7. (See [11, p. 110].) Up to isomorphism, there exists a unique $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$-module of dimension 1 on which each $u \in U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ acts as $\varepsilon(u)I$, where ε is from Lemma 2.2. This $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$-module is said to be *trivial*.

Proposition 2.8. (See [22, Theorem 3.2].) *Assume that* $\mathbb{F}$ *is algebraically closed with characteristic zero, and* q *is not a root of unity. Let* V *denote a nontrivial finite-dimensional irreducible* $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$*-module on which each eigenvalue of* K_1 *is an integral power of* q. *Then* V *is isomorphic to a tensor product of evaluation* $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$*-modules.*

3 The equitable presentation for $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$

In this section we recall the equitable presentation for $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_4 = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ denote the cyclic group of order 4. In a moment we will discuss some objects X_{ij}. The subscripts i, j are meant to be in $\mathbb{Z}_4$.

Lemma 3.1. (See [14, Theorem 2.1], [22, Proposition 4.2].) *The algebra $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ has a presentation by generators*

$$X_{01}, \quad X_{12}, \quad X_{23}, \quad X_{30}, \quad X_{13}, \quad X_{31} \tag{12}$$

and the following relations:

$$X_{13}X_{31} = 1, \quad X_{31}X_{13} = 1, \quad \frac{qX_{01}X_{12} - q^{-1}X_{12}X_{01}}{q - q^{-1}} = 1, \quad \frac{qX_{12}X_{23} - q^{-1}X_{23}X_{12}}{q - q^{-1}} = 1,$$
$$\frac{qX_{23}X_{30} - q^{-1}X_{30}X_{23}}{q - q^{-1}} = 1, \quad \frac{qX_{30}X_{01} - q^{-1}X_{01}X_{30}}{q - q^{-1}} = 1, \quad \frac{qX_{01}X_{13} - q^{-1}X_{13}X_{01}}{q - q^{-1}} = 1,$$
$$\frac{qX_{31}X_{12} - q^{-1}X_{12}X_{31}}{q - q^{-1}} = 1, \quad \frac{qX_{23}X_{31} - q^{-1}X_{31}X_{23}}{q - q^{-1}} = 1, \quad \frac{qX_{13}X_{30} - q^{-1}X_{30}X_{13}}{q - q^{-1}} = 1,$$
$$X_{i,i+1}^3 X_{i+2,i+3} - [3]_q X_{i,i+1}^2 X_{i+2,i+3} X_{i,i+1} + [3]_q X_{i,i+1} X_{i+2,i+3} X_{i,i+1}^2 - X_{i+2,i+3} X_{i,i+1}^3 = 0.$$

An isomorphism with the presentation in Definition 2.1 sends

$$X_{01} \mapsto K_0 + q(q - q^{-1})K_0F_0, \qquad X_{12} \mapsto K_1 - (q - q^{-1})E_1,$$
$$X_{23} \mapsto K_1 + q(q - q^{-1})K_1F_1, \qquad X_{30} \mapsto K_0 - (q - q^{-1})E_0,$$
$$X_{13} \mapsto K_1, \qquad X_{31} \mapsto K_0.$$

The inverse isomorphism sends

$$E_1 \mapsto (X_{13} - X_{12})(q - q^{-1})^{-1}, \qquad E_0 \mapsto (X_{31} - X_{30})(q - q^{-1})^{-1},$$
$$F_1 \mapsto (X_{31}X_{23} - 1)q^{-1}(q - q^{-1})^{-1}, \qquad F_0 \mapsto (X_{13}X_{01} - 1)q^{-1}(q - q^{-1})^{-1},$$
$$K_1 \mapsto X_{13}, \qquad K_0 \mapsto X_{31}.$$

Note 3.2. For notational convenience, we identify the copy of $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ given in Definition 2.1 with the copy given in Lemma 3.1, via the isomorphism given in Lemma 3.1.

Definition 3.3. Referring to Lemma 3.1, we call the generators (12) the *equitable generators* for $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$.

Lemma 3.4. (See [24, Theorem 3.4].) *From the equitable point of view the Hopf algebra $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ looks as follows. The coproduct Δ satisfies*

$$\Delta(X_{13}) = X_{13} \otimes X_{13}, \qquad \Delta(X_{31}) = X_{31} \otimes X_{31},$$
$$\Delta(X_{01}) = (X_{01} - X_{31}) \otimes 1 + X_{31} \otimes X_{01}, \qquad \Delta(X_{12}) = (X_{12} - X_{13}) \otimes 1 + X_{13} \otimes X_{12},$$
$$\Delta(X_{23}) = (X_{23} - X_{13}) \otimes 1 + X_{13} \otimes X_{23}, \qquad \Delta(X_{30}) = (X_{30} - X_{31}) \otimes 1 + X_{31} \otimes X_{30}.$$

The counit ε satisfies

$$\varepsilon(X_{13}) = 1, \qquad \varepsilon(X_{31}) = 1, \qquad \varepsilon(X_{01}) = 1,$$
$$\varepsilon(X_{12}) = 1, \qquad \varepsilon(X_{23}) = 1, \qquad \varepsilon(X_{30}) = 1.$$

The antipode S satisfies

$$S(X_{31}) = X_{13}, \qquad S(X_{13}) = X_{31},$$
$$S(X_{01}) = 1 + X_{13} - X_{13}X_{01}, \qquad S(X_{12}) = 1 + X_{31} - X_{31}X_{12},$$
$$S(X_{23}) = 1 + X_{31} - X_{31}X_{23}, \qquad S(X_{30}) = 1 + X_{13} - X_{13}X_{30}.$$

4 Some L-operators for $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$

In this section we recall some L-operators for $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$, and describe their basic properties.

We recall some notation. Let Δ denote the coproduct for a Hopf algebra H. Then the opposite coproduct Δ^{op} is the composition

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}: \quad H \xrightarrow[\Delta]{} H \otimes H \xrightarrow[r \otimes s \mapsto s \otimes r]{} H \otimes H.$$

Definition 4.1. (See [22, Section 9.1].) Let V denote a $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$-module and $0 \neq t \in \mathbb{F}$. Consider an $\mathbb{F}$-linear map

$$L: \quad V \otimes \mathbf{V}(1,t) \to V \otimes \mathbf{V}(1,t).$$

We call this map an *L-operator for V with parameter t* whenever the following diagram commutes for all $u \in U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V \otimes \mathbf{V}(1,t) & \xrightarrow{\Delta(u)} & V \otimes \mathbf{V}(1,t) \\ {\scriptstyle L}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle L} \\ V \otimes \mathbf{V}(1,t) & \xrightarrow[\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}(u)]{} & V \otimes \mathbf{V}(1,t) \end{array}$$

Definition 4.2. (See [22, Section 9.1].) Let V denote a $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$-module and $0 \neq t \in \mathbb{F}$. Consider any $\mathbb{F}$-linear map

$$L: \quad V \otimes \mathbf{V}(1,t) \to V \otimes \mathbf{V}(1,t). \tag{13}$$

For $r, s \in \{0,1\}$ define an $\mathbb{F}$-linear map $L_{rs}: V \to V$ such that for $v \in V$,

$$L(v \otimes v_0) = L_{00}(v) \otimes v_0 + L_{10}(v) \otimes v_1, \tag{14}$$
$$L(v \otimes v_1) = L_{01}(v) \otimes v_0 + L_{11}(v) \otimes v_1. \tag{15}$$

Here v_0, v_1 is the basis for $\mathbf{V}(1,t)$ from Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 4.3. *Referring to Definition 4.2, the map* (13) *is an L-operator for V with parameter*

t if and only if the following equations hold on V:

$$K_1L_{00} = L_{00}K_1, \qquad K_1L_{01} = q^{-2}L_{01}K_1,$$
$$K_1L_{10} = q^2L_{10}K_1, \qquad K_1L_{11} = L_{11}K_1;$$

$$L_{00}E_1 - qE_1L_{00} = L_{10}, \qquad L_{01}E_1 - qE_1L_{01} = L_{11} - L_{00}K_1,$$
$$L_{10}E_1 - q^{-1}E_1L_{10} = 0, \qquad L_{11}E_1 - q^{-1}E_1L_{11} = -L_{10}K_1;$$

$$F_1L_{00} - q^{-1}L_{00}F_1 = L_{01}, \qquad F_1L_{01} - qL_{01}F_1 = 0,$$
$$F_1L_{10} - q^{-1}L_{10}F_1 = L_{11} - K_0L_{00}, \qquad F_1L_{11} - qL_{11}F_1 = -K_0L_{01};$$

$$K_0L_{00} = L_{00}K_0, \qquad K_0L_{01} = q^2L_{01}K_0,$$
$$K_0L_{10} = q^{-2}L_{10}K_0, \qquad K_0L_{11} = L_{11}K_0;$$

$$L_{00}E_0 - q^{-1}E_0L_{00} = -tL_{01}K_0, \qquad L_{01}E_0 - q^{-1}E_0L_{01} = 0,$$
$$L_{10}E_0 - qE_0L_{10} = tL_{00} - tL_{11}K_0, \qquad L_{11}E_0 - qE_0L_{11} = tL_{01};$$

$$F_0L_{00} - qL_{00}F_0 = -t^{-1}K_1L_{10}, \qquad F_0L_{01} - q^{-1}L_{01}F_0 = t^{-1}L_{00} - t^{-1}K_1L_{11},$$
$$F_0L_{10} - qL_{10}F_0 = 0, \qquad F_0L_{11} - q^{-1}L_{11}F_0 = t^{-1}L_{10}.$$

Proof. This is routinely checked. □

Example 4.4. (See [21, Appendix], [22, Proposition 9.2].) Referring to Definition 4.2, assume that V is an evaluation module $\mathbf{V}(d,\mu)$ such that $q^{2i} \neq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Consider the matrices that represent the L_{rs} with respect to the basis $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ for $\mathbf{V}(d,\mu)$ from Lemma 2.3. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) the map (13) is an L-operator for V with parameter t;

(ii) the matrix entries are given in the table below (all matrix entries not shown are zero):

operator	$(i,i-1)$-entry	(i,i)-entry	$(i-1,i)$-entry
L_{00}	0	$\frac{q^{1-i}-\mu^{-1}tq^{i-d}}{q-q^{-1}}\,\xi$	0
L_{01}	$[i]_q q^{1-i}\xi$	0	0
L_{10}	0	0	$[d-i+1]_q q^{i-d}\mu^{-1}t\xi$
L_{11}	0	$\frac{q^{i-d+1}-\mu^{-1}tq^{-i}}{q-q^{-1}}\,\xi$	0

Here $\xi \in \mathbb{F}$.

Lemma 4.5. (See [22, Proposition 9.3].) *Let U and V denote $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$-modules, and consider the $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$-module $U \otimes V$ from Lemma 2.6. Let $0 \neq t \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose we are given L-operators for U and V with parameter t. Then there exists an L-operator for $U \otimes V$ with parameter t such that for $r,s \in \{0,1\}$,*

$$L_{rs}(u \otimes v) = L_{r0}(u) \otimes L_{0s}(v) + L_{r1}(u) \otimes L_{1s}(v) \qquad u \in U, \quad v \in V. \tag{16}$$

Proof. For $r, s \in \{0, 1\}$ define an $\mathbb{F}$-linear map $L_{rs} : U \otimes V \to U \otimes V$ that satisfies (16). Using (16) and Lemma 2.6 one checks that the L_{rs} satisfy the equations in Lemma 4.3. The result follows by Lemma 4.3. □

Corollary 4.6. *Adopt the notation and assumptions of Proposition 2.8. Then for $0 \neq t \in \mathbb{F}$ there exists a nonzero L-operator for V with parameter t.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.8 along with Example 4.4 and Lemma 4.5. □

5 TD pairs and L-operators

In Section 1 we discussed a TD pair A, A^* on V. We now return to this discussion, adopting the notation and assumptions that were in force at the end of Section 1. Recall the scalars q, a, b from (4). Recall the map K from above (5).

Proposition 5.1. (See [17, p. 103].) *Assume that $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed with characteristic zero, and q is not a root of unity. Then the vector space V becomes a $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$-module on which $K = X_{31}$, $K^{-1} = X_{13}$ and*

$$A = aX_{01} + a^{-1}X_{12}, \qquad A^* = bX_{23} + b^{-1}X_{30}.$$

Proof. This is how [17, p. 103] looks from the equitable point of view. □

Note 5.2. The $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$-module structure from Proposition 5.1 is not unique in general.

We now investigate the $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$-module structure from Proposition 5.1. Recall the map R from above (7).

Lemma 5.3. *Assume that the vector space V becomes a $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$-module on which $K = X_{31}$, $K^{-1} = X_{13}$ and*

$$A = aX_{01} + a^{-1}X_{12}, \qquad A^* = bX_{23} + b^{-1}X_{30}.$$

On this module,

(i) *R looks as follows in the equitable presentation:*

$$R = a(X_{01} - X_{31}) + a^{-1}(X_{12} - X_{13}). \tag{17}$$

(ii) *R looks as follows in the Chevalley presentation:*

$$R = (q - q^{-1})(aqK_0F_0 - a^{-1}E_1). \tag{18}$$

Proof. (i) In line (7) eliminate A, K, K^{-1} using the assumptions of the present lemma.
(ii) Evaluate the right-hand side of (17) using the identifications from Lemma 3.1 and Note 3.2. □

We now present our main result. Recall the Bockting operator ψ from (9), (10).

Theorem 5.4. *Assume that the vector space V becomes a $U_q(L(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$-module on which $K = X_{31}$, $K^{-1} = X_{13}$ and*

$$A = aX_{01} + a^{-1}X_{12}, \qquad A^* = bX_{23} + b^{-1}X_{30}.$$

Consider an L-operator for V with parameter a^2. Then on V,

$$\psi = -a(L_{00})^{-1}L_{01} \tag{19}$$

provided that L_{00} is invertible.

Proof. Let $\widehat{\psi}$ denote the expression on the right in (19). We show $\psi = \widehat{\psi}$. To do this, we show that $\widehat{\psi}$ satisfies (9), (10). Concerning (9), by Lemma 4.3 the equation $K_0\widehat{\psi} = q^2\widehat{\psi}K_0$ holds on V. By Lemma 3.1, Note 3.2, and the construction, we obtain $K_0 = X_{31} = K$ on V. By these comments $K\widehat{\psi} = q^2\widehat{\psi}K$ on V. By this and (5) we obtain $\widehat{\psi}U_i \subseteq U_{i-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. So $\widehat{\psi}$ satisfies (9). Next we show that $\widehat{\psi}$ satisfies (10). Since L_{00} is invertible and $K_0K_1 = 1$ it suffices to show that on V,

$$L_{00}(\widehat{\psi}R - R\widehat{\psi}) = (q - q^{-1})L_{00}(K_0 - K_1). \tag{20}$$

By this and (18) it suffices to show that on V,

$$aqL_{00}(\widehat{\psi}K_0F_0 - K_0F_0\widehat{\psi}) - a^{-1}L_{00}(\widehat{\psi}E_1 - E_1\widehat{\psi}) + L_{00}(K_1 - K_0) = 0. \tag{21}$$

We examine the terms in (21). By Lemma 4.3 and the construction, the following hold on V:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{00}\widehat{\psi}K_0F_0 &= -aL_{01}K_0F_0 \\ &= -aq^{-2}K_0L_{01}F_0 \\ &= -aq^{-1}K_0(F_0L_{01} - a^{-2}L_{00} + a^{-2}K_1L_{11}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_{00}K_0F_0\widehat{\psi} &= K_0L_{00}F_0\widehat{\psi} \\ &= q^{-1}K_0(a^{-2}K_1L_{10} + F_0L_{00})\widehat{\psi} \\ &= q^{-1}K_0(a^{-2}K_1L_{10}\widehat{\psi} - aF_0L_{01}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_{00}\widehat{\psi}E_1 &= -aL_{01}E_1 \\ &= -a(qE_1L_{01} + L_{11} - L_{00}K_1) \\ &= -a(qE_1L_{01} + L_{11} - K_1L_{00}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_{00}E_1\widehat{\psi} &= (L_{10} + qE_1L_{00})\widehat{\psi} \\ &= L_{10}\widehat{\psi} - qaE_1L_{01} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$L_{00}K_1 = K_1L_{00}, \qquad L_{00}K_0 = K_0L_{00}.$$

To verify (21), evaluate its left-hand side using the above comments and simplify the result using $K_0K_1 = 1$. The computation is routine, and omitted. We have shown that $\widehat{\psi}$ satisfies (10). The result follows. □

6 Acknowledgment

The author thanks Sarah Bockting-Conrad and Edward Hanson for giving this paper a close reading and offering valuable suggestions. The author also thanks Pascal Baseilhac for many conversations concerning quantum groups, L-operators, and tridiagonal pairs.

References

[1] P. Baseilhac. An integrable structure related with tridiagonal algebras. *Nuclear Phys. B* 705 (2005) 605–619; `arXiv:math-ph/0408025`.

[2] P. Baseilhac, S. Belliard. The half-infinite XXZ chain in Onsager's approach. *Nuclear Phys. B* 873 (2013) 550–584.

[3] P. Baseilhac and K. Koizumi. A new (in)finite dimensional algebra for quantum integrable models. *Nuclear Phys. B* 720 (2005) 325–347; `arXiv:math-ph/0503036`.

[4] P. Baseilhac and K. Koizumi. A deformed analogue of Onsager's symmetry in the XXZ open spin chain. *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.* 2005, no. 10, P10005, 15 pp. (electronic); `arXiv:hep-th/0507053`.

[5] P. Baseilhac and K. Koizumi. Exact spectrum of the XXZ open spin chain from the q-Onsager algebra representation theory. *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.* 2007, no. 9, P09006, 27 pp. (electronic); `arXiv:hep-th/0703106`.

[6] P. Baseilhac and K. Shigechi. A new current algebra and the reflection equation. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 92 (2010) 47–65.

[7] S. Bockting-Conrad. Two commuting operators associated with a tridiagonal pair. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 437 (2012) 242–270.

[8] S. Bockting-Conrad. Tridiagonal pairs of q-Racah type, the double lowering operator ψ, and the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 445 (2014) 256–279.

[9] S. Bockting-Conrad, P. Terwilliger. The algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ in disguise. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 459 (2014) 548–585.

[10] V. Chari and A. Pressley. Quantum affine algebras. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 142 (1991) 261–283.

[11] V. Chari and A. Pressley. *A guide to quantum groups.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[12] T. Ito, K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger. A classification of sharp tridiagonal pairs. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **435** (2011) 1857–1884. `arXiv:1001.1812`.

[13] T. Ito, K. Tanabe, P. Terwilliger. Some algebra related to P- and Q-polynomial association schemes, in: *Codes and Association Schemes (Piscataway NJ, 1999)*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2001, pp. 167–192; `arXiv:math.CO/0406556`.

[14] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. Tridiagonal pairs and the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. *Ramanujan J.* 13 (2007) 39–62; `arXiv:math/0310042`.

[15] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. Two non-nilpotent linear transformations that satisfy the cubic q-Serre relations. *J. Algebra Appl.* 6 (2007) 477–503; `arXiv:math/0508398`.

[16] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. The q-tetrahedron algebra and its finite-dimensional irreducible modules. *Comm. Algebra* 35 (2007) 3415–3439; `arXiv:math/0602199`.

[17] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. The augmented tridiagonal algebra. *Kyushu J. Math.* 64 (2010) 81–144.

[18] J. Jantzen. *Lectures on quantum groups*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 6. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[19] C. Kassel. *Quantum Groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 155, Springer, New York, 1995.

[20] P.P. Kulish and E.K. Sklyanin. Solutions of the Yang-Baxter equations. *J. Sov. Math.* 19 (1982) 1596–1620.

[21] P.P. Kulish and N.Yu. Reshetikhin. Quantum linear problem for the Sine-Gordon equation and higher representations. *J. Sov. Math.* 23 (1983) 2435–2441.

[22] K. Miki. Finite dimensional modules for the q-tetrahedron algebra. *Osaka J. Math.* 47 (2010) 559–589.

[23] P. Terwilliger. Two relations that generalize the q-Serre relations and the Dolan-Grady relations. *Physics and combinatorics 1999 (Nagoya), 377-398*, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2001.

[24] P. Terwilliger. The equitable presentation for the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with a symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. *J. Algebra* 298 (2006) 302–319. `arXiv:math/0507478`.

Paul Terwilliger
Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin
480 Lincoln Drive
Madison, WI 53706-1388 USA
email: `terwilli@math.wisc.edu`